

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/698,317 | 10/27/2000 | Byung Jin Choi | PA09-06V02 6298 | |
| 7590 02/20/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Kenneth C. Brooks | | | DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M | |
| Molecular Imprints, Inc. Legal Dept. P.O. Box 81536 Austin, TX 78708 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2834 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | <i></i> | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
| | | 09/698,317 | CHOI ET AL. | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | Thomas M. Dougherty | 2834 | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | |
| A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput or poly within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) ズ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 N | November 2003. | | | |
| · | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>77-103</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>87-103</u> is/are withdrest Claim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> Claim(s) <u>77 and 86</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>78-85</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and/organical subject subject to restriction and/organical subject sub</u> | awn from consideration. | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | |
| - | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | | Examiner. | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | = • • | • • | | |
| Priority ι | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | |
| 3) 🛛 Infor | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 602,203,1203 | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | |

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings have been noted as being informal by the Applicants. The proposed changes to the drawings in the paper of 07/07/03 is approved by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 77 and 86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al. (US 6,411,010). Suzuki et al. show (fig. 7) a device to orientate a body (303) with respect to a surface (301) spaced apart from said body (303), said device comprising: a flexure system (304); and a body (303) connected to said flexure system (304), with said flexure system (304) adapted to position said body (303) in a desired orientation with respect to said surface (301) and maintain said orientation in response to a force being exerted upon said body (303).

Said flexure system (304) further comprise a plurality of piezo actuators (306a-d) attached to apply a force to rotate said body (303).

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/698,317 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 78-85 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art fails to show or fairly suggest a pair of flexure members, each for orientation of the body and each defining its own axis of rotation wherein the two axes of rotation extend transversely to each other and the two axes are decoupled from each other. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/28/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The restriction is maintained for the reasons cited in the restriction requirement.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining prior art cited reads on at least some aspect or aspects of the claimed invention.

Direct inquiry to Examiner Dougherty at (571) 272-2022.

February 13, 2004

THOMAS M. DOUGHER PRIMARY EXAMINER